UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,667	10/06/2003		Bret A. Ferree	BAF-15102/29	4076
25006	7590	08/21/2006		EXAMINER	
•	•	GROH, SPRINK	REIMERS, ANNETTE R		
PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3733	

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/679,667	FERREE, BRET A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Annette R. Reimers	3733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07.	<u>June 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-15 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		to the books Francisco					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2005</u> is/are:							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		*					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	- , - ,	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date primal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

DETAILED ACTION

Upon further consideration, the last office action, i.e., final office action dated December 27, 2005, has been vacated. A new action follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 1, lines 4-5, "an element that allows movement between the lower and upper components along only two separate, independent axes" has not been disclosed in the specification. The specification only discloses a multiaxial ADR. As there is no distinction in the specification between movement along multiple axes and movement along only two separate, independent axes, the phrase "an element that allows movement between the lower and upper components along only two separate, independent axes" is considered new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,667 Page 3

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedman

et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,759,769).

Hedman et al. disclose various embodiments of a multiaxial artificial disc

replacement comprising a lower component, 28, adapted for fixation to an inferior

vertebral body, at 64, an upper component, 26, adapted for fixation to a superior

vertebral body, at 46, an element, 72 and 74, that allows movement between the lower

and upper components along only two separate, independent orthogonal axes, and

wherein one of the axes is generally medial-lateral and the other axis is generally

anterior-posterior (see figures 1, 2, 6 and 7 and column 4, lines 22-64, and column 5,

lines 34-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedman et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,759,769) in view of Mehdizadeh (U.S. Patent Number 6,231,609), cited by examiner on 892, paper number 20041207.

Hedman et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the element being a cruciate-shaped axle. Mehdizadeh discloses a multiaxial artificial disc replacement comprising a lower component, 12, an upper component, 11, and an element, 19, between the components (see figure 2). Mehdizadeh further shows that the element can be a coil spring or a cruciate-shaped spring (see figures 2, 9 and 10) and teaches that these are functionally equivalent structures. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Hedman et al. with the element being a cruciate-shaped spring instead of a coil spring, in view of Mehdizadeh, as such would merely constitute a substitution of functionally equivalent structures.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on June 07, 2006, regarding the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant that every figure of the specification shows "an element that allows movement between the lower and upper components along only two separate, independent axes." For example, in figure 4, the arrows indicate that the cruciate-shaped axes can allow for more than anterior-posterior and medial-lateral movement, e.g. rotational movement about a vertical axis.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,667 Page 5

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant's arguments regarding claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER